

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 29th June, 1983

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30710.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Shanhar Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Limited, Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter here in after appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shanhar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30717.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Ishwar Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Limited, Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ishwar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 1st July, 1983

No. ID/FD/59/83/31195.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s V. V. Enterprises Plot No. 32, Sector No. 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workers should be designated and paid according to the nature of job being performed by them? If so, with what details?

No. ID/SPT/108/83/31202.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Luthra Engineering Works, Delhi Road, Sonipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the attendance cards should be supplied to the workers? if so, with what details?